| ΑD | | | |
|----|--|--|--|
| | | | |

GRANT NUMBER DAMD17-94-J-4334

TITLE: An Evaluation of a Peer Support Program to Improve Quality of Life with Breast Cancer

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR: Carol P. Somkin, Ph.D.

CONTRACTING ORGANIZATION: Kaiser Foundation Research Institute Oakland, California 94612-3433

REPORT DATE: July 1998

TYPE OF REPORT: Annual

PREPARED FOR: Commander

U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command

Fort Detrick, Maryland 21702-5012

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT: Approved for Public Release;

Distribution Unlimited

The views, opinions and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy or decision unless so designated by other documentation.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188

Public, reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden as the spect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Lefterson collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.

| Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202- | | tent and budget, Paperwork Reduction Topos (| |
|---|-----------------------|--|------------|
| 1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank) | 2. REPORT DATE | 3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED | 2) |
| | July 1998 | Annual (1 Jul 97 - 30 Jun 98 | |
| 4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE | | 5. FUNDING NUMB | ERS |
| An Evaluation of a Peer | Support Program t | o Improve DAMD17-94-J- | 4224 |
| Quality of Life with Bre | | DAMD17-94-0- | 4334 |
| | | | |
| 6. AUTHOR(S) | | | |
| | | , | |
| Carol P. Somkin, Ph.D. | | | |
| | | | |
| 7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAM | IE(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) | 8. PERFORMING O | |
| | | REPORT NOWING | .n |
| Kaiser Foundation Resear | ch Institute | | |
| Oakland, California 946 | 512-3433 | | |
| | | | |
| | | Les enougement | MONITORING |
| 9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGEN | CY NAME(S) AND ADDRES | S(ES) 10. SPONSORING/I | |
| Commander | ark and Matarial (| | |
| U.S. Army Medical Resear | con and Materier | 5012 | |
| Fort Detrick, Frederick, | , Maryland 21/02 | -5012 | |
| | | | |
| THE TARK NOTES | | 400000 | $A \cap A$ |
| 11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES | | 19990105 | 171 |
| | | 1///0107 | - |
| ł | | | |

12a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited

12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE

13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200

The objective of this study is to develop, implement and evaluate a volunteer peer support program for women newly diagnosed with breast cancer. This program augments and complements the American Cancer Society's Reach to Recovery Program. Our primary aim is to determine the value of providing a comprehensive, organizationally-specific, peer support program to women beginning at diagnosis and continuing for up to one year. Participants were paired with a trained breast cancer survivor who provided them with ongoing peer support, in addition to specific information and skills to help them navigate the Kaiser Permanente Medical Care Program. Study volunteers received the standard Reach to Recovery training, in addition to a two-day skills training which prepared them to become breast cancer peer support volunteers and advocates. The fourth year of this study was devoted to collection, processing and preliminary analysis of 3- and 12-month data. In addition we developed and administered a volunteer survey; continued volunteer support and supervision; and edited the volunteer training manual. We have obtained a no cost extension in order to finish collection of the 12-month follow-up questionnaires, complete the analysis on the entire sample and write up the results for the final report and for publication.

| 14. SUBJECT TERMS | | | 15. NUMBER OF PAGES |
|--|--|---|----------------------------|
| Breast Cancer , Quali Patient Satisfaction, | 41 16. PRICE CODE | | |
| 17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT | 18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE | 19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT | 20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT |
| Unclassified | Unclassified | Unclassified | Unlimited |

NSN 7540-01-280-5500

Standard Form 298 (Rev. 2-89) Prescribed by ANSI Std. Z39-18 298-102

FOREWORD

| Opinions, interpretations, conclusions and recommendations are those of the author and are not necessarily endorsed by the U.S. Army. |
|---|
| Where copyrighted material is quoted, permission has been obtained to use such material. |
| Where material from documents designated for limited distribution is quoted, permission has been obtained to use the material. |
| Citations of commercial organizations and trade names in this report do not constitute an official Department of Army endorsement or approval of the products or services of these organizations. |
| In conducting research using animals, the investigator(s) adhered to the "Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals," prepared by the Committee on Care and use of Laboratory Animals of the Institute of Laboratory Resources, national Research Council (NIH Publication No. 86-23, Revised 1985). |
| For the protection of human subjects, the investigator(s) adhered to policies of applicable Federal Law 45 CFR 46. |
| In conducting research utilizing recombinant DNA technology, the investigator(s) adhered to current guidelines promulgated by the National Institutes of Health. |
| In the conduct of research utilizing recombinant DNA, the investigator(s) adhered to the NIH Guidelines for Research Involving Recombinant DNA Molecules. |
| In the conduct of research involving hazardous organisms, the investigator(s) adhered to the CDC-NIH Guide for Biosafety in Microbiological and Biomedical Laboratories. |
| PI - Signature Date |

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Annual Report

An Evaluation of the Effect of a Peer Support Program on Quality of Life with Breast Cancer

| Introduction | Page 5 |
|--------------|---------|
| Body | Page 5 |
| Conclusions | Page 8 |
| Tables | Page 9 |
| Appendix | Page 21 |

Introduction

This report summarizes activities for the fourth year of our study to develop, implement and evaluate a volunteer peer support program for women newly diagnosed with breast cancer. This program augments and complements the American Cancer Society's Reach to Recovery Program. Our primary aim is to determine the value of providing a comprehensive, organizationally-specific, peer support program to women beginning at diagnosis and continuing for up to one year. This randomized controlled trial asks four research questions:

- 1. Does this expanded program improve (a) quality of life with breast cancer; (b) participation with treatment decisions; and (c) satisfaction with care?
- 2. How do patient sociodemographic characteristics influence these outcomes?
- 3. What are the main benefits of this program?
- 4. Does participation in treatment decisions improve quality of life?

Women recruited into the study in five Kaiser Permanente medical centers were randomly assigned to the intervention or control group. Participants in the intervention group were paired with a trained breast cancer survivor (peer support volunteer or "peer supporter") who provided them, beginning at diagnosis, with ongoing peer support along with specific information and skills to help navigate the Kaiser Permanente Medical Care Program. Participants in the control group received the usual support services offered to women newly diagnosed with breast cancer, which in most cases included a referral to Reach to Recovery.

Body

Year Four Activities

The fourth year has been devoted to the collection, processing and preliminary analysis of three- and twelve-month participant data. In addition we developed and administered a volunteer survey. While not originally part of the evaluation plan, the collection of data from project volunteers will allow us to better understand the patient-volunteer matching process and to investigate the potentially beneficial effects of participation in a breast cancer peer support program on the breast cancer survivors (i.e. peer support volunteers) as well as the newly diagnosed women.

Volunteer support and supervision continued through the fourth year. This has included monthly volunteer meetings at all five facilities through December 1997 and telephone support as needed. As noted in previous annual reports, one of the primary tasks of this project has been the training supervision and support of a large and diverse group of women. The sixty-nine volunteers have varied in every possible way. They differed across educational, ethnic, religious and political lines and contact with women has continued to be tailored towards recognition of their individual and group differences.

In addition to regular volunteer support, we organized three special events to thank the volunteers for their work and to mark the end of the project's recruitment phase. These events

provided the opportunity for volunteers to express their feelings about ending work that for many had proved emotionally meaningful.

The fourth year has also included editing our volunteer training manual so that it can be used by others who are interested in developing similar peer support programs. Completion of this process and the editing of the trainer's guide and leader's guide will occur in the upcoming months. After study recruitment ended, we hoped that facility staff who had participated in the research would be able to incorporate the activities of the peer support program into their ongoing job description. Happily, one of the five facilities will continue to offer peer support to newly diagnosed women. In preparation for this ongoing commitment to the peer support program, the breast care coordinator (peer support program facility coordinator) at this site held a volunteer training this winter, in collaboration with the breast care coordinator at another community hospital and the American Cancer Society, using the project's volunteer training manual. Currently, this breast care coordinator supervises (and holds monthly meetings with) a group of peer support volunteers who are both newly trained and who were originally trained as part of our study. In this facility the breast cancer peer support program that was developed as part of this research project is being offered to newly diagnosed breast cancer patients as part of usual care. Unfortunately, the four other sites involved in this research project have not been able to continue the program after the research funding ended. While many of our volunteers in these facilities have expressed the desire to continue their work, the maintenance of such a service requires time and resources. Two facility coordinators have described to us their frustration and disappointment that they have been unable to continue this work on their own.

Below we summarize our evaluation methods and some preliminary results for the baseline and three-month surveys.

Evaluation Methods

The data for this study were collected using three self-administered surveys, completed at entry into the study (baseline), three months, and twelve months after entry into the study (see Appendix for copies of the surveys). The eligibility criteria for the study included women who had: (1) a first diagnosis of ductal carcinoma in situ or any stage invasive breast cancer; and (2) a study contact prior to primary treatment. Every week, during the recruitment period, study coordinators at each facility identified potentially eligible women from the pathology reports and obtained permission to contact these women from their surgeons. If the surgeon approved, the patient was sent an invitation letter (signed by her surgeon) along with a baseline questionnaire and an informed consent form. Upon receipt of these materials, each woman was randomly assigned to either the intervention or the control group. Three months later, she was mailed a three-month follow-up survey. If the survey was not returned within one week, the woman was sent a reminder postcard. If the survey was not returned after an additional week, the woman was sent a second copy of the survey. Finally, if the survey was still not returned after an additional two weeks, a research assistant spoke with the woman by phone and offered special assistance. This process was repeated with the twelve-month follow-up surveys.

In order to determine whether study enrollment the varied by sociodemographic and disease characteristics of the participants, we linked the names of the women who were sent invitation letters to the data in Kaiser Permanente's cancer registry. Using a Chi-square test of association, we investigated whether participation in the study differed by age at diagnosis, race/ethnicity, marital status, stage at diagnosis, and treatment facility within Kaiser Permanente.

Next we determined whether the randomization to the intervention or the control groups resulted in samples with equivalent sociodemographic and disease characteristics. With data from the baseline survey, we used Chi-square tests of association to compare the groups on various characteristics (treatment facility within Kaiser Permanente, age at intervention, stage at diagnosis, race/ethnicity, education level, marital status, employment status, language, living situation, and social support).

We performed an intent-to-treat analysis to determine the effect of the intervention on quality of life at the three-month point. We compared the scores on the Functional Assessment of Cancer Therapy Scales (F.A.C.T.); the physical and mental components of the SF-12 Health Survey; the Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale (CES-D); and the Illness Intrusiveness Scale for the intervention and the control groups, using t-tests. In order to control for other sources of support and actual participation in the program, we conducted a sub-group analysis comparing the effect of the intervention among four groups of participants. The four groups were those who utilized (1) peer support only; (2) peer support and group support; (3) group support only; and (4) none of the above support resources.

Because we found that the intervention and control group differed on one baseline characteristic (the percentage of women who lived alone) we investigated, using t-tests, whether this variable affected any of the quality of life measures. We also tested to see if this variable interacted with the effect of the intervention on quality of life, using a multivariate analysis of variance model.

Preliminary Results

Overall, 37.8% (n=290) of the women who were sent the invitational packet returned the baseline materials and were randomized into either the intervention or control group (Table 1). The response rate varied by four factors: (1) age at diagnosis, with the older women being less likely to participate than younger women (Table 2); (2) race/ethnicity, with Asian/Pacific Islander, Hispanic, and other/unknown women being less likely to participate than African American and White, Non-Hispanic women (Table 3); (3) marital status, with married and widowed women being less likely to participate than single, divorced and separated women (Table 4); and (4) Kaiser Permanente facility, with some facilities recruiting fewer participants than others (Table 5). Participation did not vary by stage at diagnosis (Table 6).

The response rate for both the three-month and the twelve-month surveys were high. Overall 93.1% of the women enrolled in the study returned the three-month survey. 86.9% returned the twelve-month survey, with an additional 4.1% still pending, which ultimately could

bring the response rate up to 91%. Women in the intervention group were more likely to return both the three-month and the twelve month surveys than women in the control group (Tables 7,8).

The control and the intervention groups did not vary by any of the sociodemographic or disease characteristics that were measured at baseline with the exception of living situation. More of the women in the treatment group than the control group lived alone (31.5% vs. 15.6%, respectively) (Tables 9-18). Upon further analysis, we found that this variable did not affect any of the outcome scales that were measured on the three month survey and that it did not interact with the effect of the intervention.

At three months, there were no significant effects of the intervention on quality of life as measured by the Functional Assessment of Cancer Therapy Scales (F.A.C.T.), the physical component of the SF-12 Health Survey, the Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale (CES-D), or the Illness Intrusiveness Scale (Table 19) The only significant difference between the two groups was for the mental component of the SF-12 Health Survey, where the control group scored more favorably than the intervention group (Table 19).

In the subgroup analysis, stratifying by use of peer support and support group resources, we found that, among the women who had a peer support volunteer only, the women in the intervention group scored higher than the women in the control group on the overall F.A.C.T. scale, and the Physical Well-Being and the Functional Well-Being components of the F.A.C.T. scale (Table 20). There were no other differences in the effect of the intervention in any of the other subgroups (Tables 21-24). We also found that the differences between the intervention group and the control group on the mental component on the SF-12 Health Survey were no longer significant when stratifying by peer support and support group resources.

Conclusions

We obtained a no cost extension until July 1999 to complete the data analyses, write the final report and manuscripts. Planned analyses include (1) continued analysis of the three-month data; (2) analysis of the twelve-month data; (3) additional subgroup analyses to specify which subsets of patients get most benefit from the program; (4) analysis of the volunteer survey, alone to determine the benefit of participating in the program to the volunteers, and linked to participant data, to better understand the characteristics of a good volunteer-participant match. In addition we will finish editing the volunteer training manual, leader's guide and volunteer coordinator's guide.

Table 1: Overall Response Rates

| Sent Invitation Letter | 768 |
|------------------------|-------|
| Enrolled in Study (N) | 290 |
| Enrolled in Study (%) | 37.8% |

Table 2: Response Rate by Age at Diagnosis

| Age | Sent Invitation Letter | Enrolled in Study (N) | Enrolled in Study (%) |
|----------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Under 40 years | 22 | 9 | 40.9 |
| 40-49 years | 150 | 70 | 46.7 |
| 50-59 years | 233 | 103 | 44.2 |
| 60-69 years | 198 | 67 | 33.8 |
| 70-79 years | 132 | 30 | 22.7 |
| 80 plus years | 21 | 6 | 28.6 |

Chi-square (5)=24.0, p<.001

Table 3: Response Rate by Race/Ethnicity

| Race/Ethnicity | Sent Invitation Letter | Enrolled in Study (N) | Enrolled in Study (%) |
|------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| White, Non Hispanic | 580 | 233 | 40.2 |
| Black, Non Hispanic | 63 | 24 | 38.1 |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 59 | 16 | 27.1 |
| Hispanic | 42 | 9 | 21.4 |
| Other/Unknown | 20 | 4 | 20.0 |

Chi-square (4)=11.7, p=.02

Table 4: Response Rate By Marital Status

| Marital Status | Sent Invitation Letter | Enrolled in Study (N) | Enrolled in Study (%) |
|----------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Single | 69 | 34 | 49.3 |
| Married | 398 | 144 | 36.2 |
| Separated | 2 | 2 | 100.0 |
| Divorced | 100 | 45 | 45.0 |
| Widowed | 85 | 23 | 27.1 |
| Unknown | 102 | 37 | 36.3 |

Chi-square (5)=14.1, p=.02

Table 5: Response Rate by Facility

| Facility | Sent Invitation Letter | Enrolled in Study (N) | Enrolled in Study (%) |
|------------|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Facility A | 110 | 26 | 23.6 |
| Facility B | 158 | 72 | 45.6 |
| Facility C | 88 | 26 | 29.6 |
| Facility D | 248 | 84 | 33.9 |
| Facility E | 164 - | 82 | 50.0 |

Chi-square (4)=28.0, p<.001

Table 6: Response Rate by Stage

| Stage | Sent Invitation Letter | Enrolled in Study (N) | Enrolled in Study (%) |
|----------|------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Insitu | 112 | 37 | 33.0 |
| Local | 477 | 173 | 36.3 |
| Regional | 158 | 72 | 45.6 |
| Distant | 7 | 2 | 28.6 |
| Unknown | 10 | 2 | 20.0 |

Chi-square (4)=7.2, p=.13

Table 7: Response Rate for 3-Month Survey

| | Control Group | Treatment Group | Overall |
|------------------|---------------|-----------------|---------|
| | N | N | N |
| | (%) | (%) | (%) |
| Returned 3-Month | 130 | 140 | 270 |
| Survey | (90.3) | (95.9) | (93.1) |
| Did Not Return | 14 | 6 | 20 |
| 3-Month Survey | (9.7) | (4.1) | (6.9) |

Table 8: Response Rate for 12-Month Survey

| | Control Group | Treatment Group | Overall |
|-------------------|---------------|-----------------|---------|
| | N | N | N |
| | (%) | (%) | (%) |
| Returned 12-month | 118 | 134 | 252 |
| Survey | (81.9) | (91.8) | (86.9) |
| Did Not Return | 18 | 8 | 26 |
| 12-Month Survey | (12.5) | (5.5) | (9.0) |
| Pending Return | 8 | 4 | 12 |
| | (5.6) | (2.7) | (4.1) |

Table 9: Baseline Characteristics: Treatment Group Vs. Control Group

| Characteristic | Control Group | Treatment Group | P Value* |
|----------------|------------------|--------------------|----------|
| | N | N | |
| | (%) | (%) | |
| Facility | | | .99 |
| Facility A | 12 | 14 | |
| · | (8.3) | (9.6) | |
| Facility B | 37 | 35 | |
| | (25.7) | (24.0) | |
| Facility C | 13 | 13 | |
| | (9.0) | (9.0) | |
| Facility D | 41 | 43 | |
| | (28.5) | (29.5) | |
| Facility E | 41 | 41 | - |
| | (28.5) | (28.1) | |

^{*}Chi-square

Table 10: Baseline Characteristics: Treatment Group Vs. Control Group—Stage at Diagnosis

| Characteristic | Control Group | Treatment Group | P Value* |
|--------------------|------------------|--------------------|----------|
| · | N | N | |
| | (%) | (%) | |
| Stage at Diagnosis | | | .82 |
| Insitu | 15 | 22 | |
| | (10.6) | (15.3) | |
| Local | 87 | 86 | |
| | (61.3) | (59.7) | |
| Regional | 38 | 34 | |
| | (26.8) | (23.6) | |
| Distant | 1 | 1 | |
| | (.7) | (.7) | |
| Unknown | . 1 | 1 | |
| | (.7) | (.7) | |

^{*}Chi-square

Table 11: Baseline Characteristics: Treatment Group Vs. Control Group —Age at Enrollment

| Characteristic | Control Group | Treatment Group | P Value* |
|---------------------|------------------|--------------------|----------|
| | N | N | ! |
| | (%) | (%) | |
| Age at Intervention | | | .10 |
| Under 50 Years | 46 | 34 | |
| | (31.9) | (23.3) | |
| 50-64 Years | 62 | 81 | |
| | (43.1) | (55.5) | |
| 65 Plus Years | 36 | 31 | |
| | (25.0) | (21.2) | |

^{*}Chi-square

Table 12: Baseline Characteristics: Treatment Group Vs. Control Group—Education

| Characteristic | Control Group | Treatment Group | P Value* |
|---|------------------|--------------------|----------|
| · | N | N | |
| | (%) | (%) | |
| Education | | | .36 |
| 8 th Grade or Less | 5 | 4 | |
| | (3.6) | (2.8) | |
| 9 th -11 th Grade | 23 | 12 | |
| | (16.4) | (8.3) | |
| High School Graduate/GED | 50 | 62 | * |
| | (35.7) | (42.8) | |
| Some College | 40 | 39 | |
| • | (28.6) | (26.9) | |
| 4 Year College Degree | 21 | 27 | |
| | (15.0) | (18.6) | |
| Completed Graduate Degree | 21 | 27 | |
| | (15.0) | (18.6) | |

^{*}Chi-square

Table 13: Baseline Characteristics: Treatment Group Vs. Control Group—Employment Status

| Characteristic | Control Group | Treatment Group | P Value* |
|--------------------------------|------------------|--------------------|----------|
| | N | · N | |
| | (%) | (%) | |
| Employment Status | | | .26 |
| Working Full Time | 68 | 56 | |
| | (47.6) | (38.4) | |
| Working Part Time | 14 | 21 | |
| | (9.8) | (14.4) | |
| Homemaker | 8 | 12 | |
| | (5.6) | (8.2) | |
| Student | 1 | 0 | |
| | (.7) | (0.0) | |
| Temporary Medical Leave | 9 | 15 | |
| | (6.3) | (10.3) | |
| Permanently Disabled | 1 | 1 | |
| | (.7) | (.7) | |
| Retired | 34 | 39 | |
| | (23.8) | (26.7) | |
| Not Employed, Looking for Work | 7 | 2 | |
| | (4.9) | (1.4) | |

^{*}Chi-square

Table 14: Baseline Characteristics: Treatment Group Vs. Control Group—Language

| Characteristic | Control Group N | Treatment Group N | P Value* |
|---|-----------------------|-------------------------|----------|
| | (%) | (%) | |
| Speak Language Other Than English in Home | | | .71 |
| Yes | 19 | 17 | |
| | (13.2) | (11.7) | |
| No | 125 | 128 | |
| | (86.8) | (88.3) | |

^{*}Chi-square

Table 15: Baseline Characteristics: Treatment Group Vs. Control Group—Comfort Speaking English

| Characteristic | Control Group | Treatment Group | P Value* |
|--------------------------|------------------|--------------------|----------|
| | N | N | |
| | (%) | (%) | |
| Comfort Speaking English | | | 35 |
| Very Comfortable | 17 | 14 | |
| | (89.5) | (73.7) | |
| A Little Comfortable | 1 | 4 | |
| | (5.3) | (21.1) | |
| Not At All Comfortable | 1 | 1 | |
| | (5.3) | (5.3) | |

^{*}Chi-square

Table 16: Baseline Characteristics: Treatment Group Vs. Control Group—Living Situation

| Characteristic | Control Group | Treatment Group | P Value* |
|------------------------------------|------------------|--------------------|----------|
| | N | N | |
| | (%) | (%) | |
| Living Situation | | | .003 |
| Live Alone | 22 | 46 | |
| | (15.6) | (31.5) | |
| Live with Partner, Family, Friends | 118 | 97 | |
| | (83.7) | (66.4) | |
| Other | 1 | 3 | |
| | (.7) | (2.1) | |

^{*}Chi-square

Table 17: Baseline Characteristics: Treatment Group Vs. Control Group—Marital Status

| Characteristic | Control Group | Treatment Group | P Value* |
|------------------|------------------|--------------------|----------|
| | . N | N | |
| · | (%) | (%) | |
| Marital Status | | | .34 |
| Single | 11 | 14 | |
| | (7.6) | (9.6) | |
| Married | 87 | 71 | |
| | (60.4) | (48.6) | |
| Domestic Partner | 13 | 12 | |
| | (9.0) | (8.2) | |
| Divorced | 19 | 32 | |
| | (13.2) | (21.9) | |
| Separated | 2 | 2 | |
| | (1.4) | (1.4) | |
| Widowed | 12 | 15 | |
| | (8.3) | (10.3) | |

^{*}Chi-square

Table 18: Baseline Characteristics: Treatment Group Vs. Control Group--Close Relatives

| Characteristic | Control Group | Treatment Group | P Value* |
|--|------------------|--------------------|----------|
| | N | . N | |
| | (%) | (%) | |
| How many relatives do you have that you feel close to? | | | .27 |
| None | 6 | 7 | |
| | (4.3) | (4.9) | |
| 1-2 | 34 | 40 | |
| | (24.3) | (28.0) | |
| 3-5 | 64 | 60 | |
| | (45.7) | (42.0) | |
| 6-9 | 15 | 24 | |
| | (10.7) | (16.8) | |
| 10+ | 21 | 12 | |
| | (15.0) | (8.4) | |

^{*}Chi-square

Table 19: Baseline Characteristics: Treatment Group Vs. Control Group—Close Friends

| Characteristic | Control Group | Treatment Group | P Value* |
|--|------------------|--------------------|----------|
| | N | N | |
| | (%) | (%) | |
| How many friends do you have that you feel close to? | | | .72 |
| None | 4 | 3 | |
| | (2.9) | (2.1) | |
| 1-2 | 21 | 27 | |
| | (15.3) | (19.0) | |
| 3-5 | 51 | 56 | |
| | (37.2) | (39.4) | |
| 6-9 | 28 | 21 | |
| | (20.4) | (14.8) | |
| 10+ | 33 | 35 | |
| | (24.1) | (24.7) | |

^{*}Chi-square

Table 20: Results of Scales at 3 Months—Treatment Group Vs. Control Group

| Scale | Control Group | Treatment Group | P Value* |
|---|------------------|--------------------|----------|
| | Mean (SD) | Mean (SD) | |
| Functional Assessment of Cancer Therapy Scales | 111.2 | 110.7 | .83 |
| (F.A.C.T.)Overall | (20.2) | (18.3) | |
| Physical Well-Being | 21.7 | 21.8 | .87 |
| | (5.8) | (5.9) | |
| Social/Family Well-Being | 23.3 | 22.7 | .28 |
| • | (4.5) | (4.6) | |
| Functional Well-Being | 20.2 | 20.8 | .33 |
| | (5.6) | (5.3) | · |
| Emotional Well-Being | 16.6 | 16.7 | .78 |
| | (3.0) | (2.9) | |
| Relationship with Doctor | 6.7 | 6.6 | .59 |
| | (1.5) | (1.6) | |
| Additional Concerns | 25.2 | 25.1 | .84 |
| | (6.2) | (5.9) | |
| Normed-Based SF-12 | 51.0 | 48.1 | .03 |
| Mental Component | (11.2) | (11.3) | |
| Normed-Based SF-12 | 43.4 | 44.1 | .47 |
| Physical Component | (7.0) | (7.4) | |
| Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression Scale | 10.3 | 10.9 | .58 |
| (CES-D)Short Form | (9.2) | (8.3) | |
| Illness Intrusiveness Scale | 33.9 | 34.2 | .92 |
| | (18.7) | (17.2) | |

^{*}t-test

Table 21: Results of Scales at 3 Months—Treatment Group Vs. Control Group —Women Utilizing Peer Support Alone

| Scale | Control Group | Treatment Group | P Value* |
|--|------------------|--------------------|----------|
| | Mean (SD) | Mean (SD) | |
| Functional Assessment of Cancer Therapy Scales | 107.6 | 116.7 | .04 |
| (F.A.C.T.)Overall | (18.7) | (15.3) | |
| Physical Well-Being | 20.7 | 23.3 | .04 |
| | (5.6) | (4.5) | |
| Functional Well-Being | 18.9 | 21.9 | .03 |
| | (5.6) | (4.9) | |

^{*}t-test

Table 22: Results of Scales at 3 Months—Treatment Group Vs. Control Group —Women Utilizing Group Support Alone

| Scale | Control Group | Treatment Group | P Value* |
|--|------------------|--------------------|----------|
| | Mean (SD) | Mean (SD) | |
| Functional Assessment of Cancer Therapy Scales | 114.7 | 111.0 | .68 |
| (F.A.C.T.)Overall | (16.2) | (20.8) | |
| Physical Well-Being | 22.6 | 20.6 | .44 |
| | (4.7) | (6.9) | |
| Functional Well-Being | 20.2 | 22.2 | .45 |
| | (5.3) | (4.4) | - |

^{*}t-test

Table 23: Results of Scales at 3 Months—Treatment Group Vs. Control Group —Women Utilizing Both Peer Support and Group Support

| Scale | Control Group | Treatment Group | P Value* |
|--|------------------|--------------------|----------|
| | Mean (SD) | Mean (SD) | |
| Functional Assessment of Cancer Therapy Scales | 107.5 | 105.0 | .57 |
| (F.A.C.T.)Overall | (23.8) | (19.8) | |
| Physical Well-Being | 20.8 | 20.8 | .99 |
| | (6.5) | (6.3) | |
| Functional Well-Being | 19.5 | 19.4 | .88 |
| C | (6.3) | (5.6) | |

^{*}t-test

Table 24: Results of Scales at 3 Months—Treatment Group Vs. Control Group —Women Utilizing Neither Peer Support Nor Group Support

| Scale | Control Group | Treatment Group | P Value* |
|--|------------------|--------------------|----------|
| | Mean (SD) | Mean (SD) | |
| Functional Assessment of Cancer Therapy Scales | 114.7 | 112.6 | .62 |
| (F.A.C.T.)Overall | (18.7) | (14.8) | |
| Physical Well-Being | 22.3 | 21.9 | .77 |
| | (5.9) | (6.1) | |
| Functional Well-Being | 21.5 | 22.1 | .60 |
| | (4.7) | (4.4) | |

^{*}t-test



BREAST CANCER PEER SUPPORT PROJECT TWELVE MONTH QUESTIONNAIRE

| | completely confidential. Your answers become part of your medical record, or a | | • | | |
|-------------|---|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------|---|
| | erall, how would you describe the care your breast cancer? | ou received at h | Kaiser Perma | nente to diagn | ose and trea |
| 1 | ☐ Poor 2 ☐ Fair 3 ☐ Good 4 | □ Very good | ₅ ☐ Exc | ellent | |
| | • | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | BREAST CAN | ICER TREA | TMENT | | |
| | BREAST CAN | ICER TREA | TMENT | | |
| Wh | BREAST CAN | | | 97 | |
| Wh | | | plan to have Plan to have | Do not plan to have | Undecided |
| | | had or do you Had in past/ | plan to have | Do not | Undecided 4 |
| á | ch of the following treatments have you | had or do you Had in past/ having now | plan to have Plan to have | Do not | Undecided 4 |
| a k | ch of the following treatments have you . Mastectomy | had or do you Had in past/ having now | plan to have Plan to have | Do not | Undecided 4 |
| a k | ch of the following treatments have you Mastectomy Lumpectomy (breast conserving surgery) | had or do you Had in past/ having now | plan to have Plan to have | Do not | Undecided 4 □ □ □ |
| a k c | ch of the following treatments have you Mastectomy Lumpectomy (breast conserving surgery) Breast reconstruction | had or do you Had in past/ having now | plan to have Plan to have | Do not | Undecided 4 □ □ □ □ |
| Wh | | had or do you | plan to have | | |
| a k | ch of the following treatments have you Mastectomy Lumpectomy (breast conserving surgery) Breast reconstruction | had or do you Had in past/ having now | plan to have Plan to have | Do not | Undecided |
| a k c | ch of the following treatments have you Mastectomy Lumpectomy (breast conserving surgery) Breast reconstruction Radiation therapy | had or do you Had in past/ having now | plan to have Plan to have | Do not | Undecided IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII |

 $_4$ \square Very good $_5$ \square Excellent

1 Poor 2 Fair 3 Good

DECISION MAKING

| 4. | . 7 | To what extent do you agree with the statement, "You should go along with the doctor's advice even if you disagree with it": | | | | | | | | |
|----|-----|--|----------------------|----------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | | 1 ☐ Strongly disagree 2 ☐ Disagree 3 ☐ | l Agree | ₄ ☐ Stror | ngly Agree | | | | | |
| 5. | | To what extent do you agree or disagree with each of the following: | Disagree strongly | Disagree somewhat | Agree somewhat | Agree strongly | | | | |
| | a. | I understand the advantages and disadvantages of each treatment option: | | | | | | | | |
| | | 1. Mastectomy | | | | | | | | |
| | | Lumpectomy (breast conserving surgery) | | | | | | | | |
| | | 3. Breast Reconstruction | | | | | | | | |
| | | 4. Radiation Therapy | | | | | | | | |
| | | 5. Chemotherapy | | | | | | | | |
| | | Tamoxifen (hormonal therapy) | | | | | | | | |
| | b. | I understand why some treatment options were not available to me | | | | | | | | |
| | C. | I had enough time to make my treatment decisions | | | | | | | | |
| | d. | I wish I had had more information about my treatment options | | | | | | | | |
| | | I am satisfied with my treatment decisions | . 🗖 | | | | | | | |
| | f. | I usually ask my doctor a lot of questions | | | | | | | | |
| | g. | I have difficulty getting emotional support from my doctor (getting my doctor to understand my feelings) | | | | | | | | |
| | h. | I have difficulty getting the information that I need from my doctor | | | | | | | | |
| 6. | Но | w often do you do each of the following? | Never | Sometimes | Often | Always | | | | |
| | | Prepare a list in advance when you visit your doctor | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | | | | |
| ļ | b. | Discuss with your doctor any personal problems that may be related to your illness | | | | | | | | |
| (| | Discuss any problems you had following a treatment plan, such as taking a medicine or following a special diet | | | | | | | | |
| (| d. | Call your doctor(s) between visits if you have problems | | | | | | | | |

| 7. Ho | ow useful did you find the following | y breast car Very useful | ncer resour Somewhat useful | • | ery Not a | | Did not |
|------------|---|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| | | 1 | 2 | 3 | | 4 | 5 |
| a. | Kaiser Health Education materials (pamphlets, videos, etc.) | | | | | | |
| b. | Kaiser psychiatrist, psychologist or psychiatric social worker | | | | [| _ | |
| C. | Breast Care Coordinator | | | | [| _ | |
| d. | American Cancer Society Reach to Recovery Program | | | · 🗖 | [| _ | |
| e. | Kaiser peer support program | | | | [| _ | |
| f. | Support group for women with breast cancer | | | Ċ | [| _ | |
| g. | Educational and support services for family and friends | | | | | _ | |
| h. | Other | | | | Ε |] | |
| <u>p</u> ; | necking one box per line, please in ast 7 days. Physical Well-Being | dicate how | true each : Not at all | statemen A little bit | t has been fo Somewhat | or you <u>dur</u> Quite a bit | ring the Very much |
| | | | 1 | 2 | 3 | . 4 | 5 |
| a. | I have a lack of energy | | | | | | |
| b. | I have nausea | h | | | | | |
| C. | Because of my physical condition, I trouble meeting the needs of my fai | | | Ц | Ļ | | |
| d. | I have pain | | | | | | |
| e. | I am bothered by side effects of tre | atment | | | | | |
| f. | l feel sick | | | | | | |
| g. | I am forced to spend time in bed | | . 🗖 | | | | |
| h. | Looking at the above 7 questions, he would you say your PHYSICAL WE affects your quality of life? | | (circle one 0 1 not at all | , | 4 5 6 | | 9 10 nuch so |

| 9. Social/Family Well-Being | Not at all | A little bit 2 | Somewhat 3 | Quite a bit 4 | Very much ⁵ |
|--|-------------------|----------------------|---------------|---------------------|------------------------------|
| a. I feel distant from my friends | | | | | |
| b. I get emotional support from my family | | | | | |
| c. I get support from my friends and neighbors | | | _ 🗖 | | |
| d. My family has accepted my illness | | | | | |
| e. Family communication about my illness is poor | | | | | |
| f. I feel close to my partner (or the person who is my main support) | | | | | |
| g1. Have you been sexually active during the past year? No Yes | | | | | |
| g2. IF YES: I am satisfied with my sex life | Circle o | ne numbe | r) . | | |
| h. Looking at the above 7 questions, how much would you say your SOCIAL/FAMILY WELL- BEING affects your quality of life? | 0 1 not at all | | 4 5 6 7 | | 10 luch so |
| 10. Relationship with Doctor | Not at | A little | | Quite a | Very |
| To. Relationship with Doctor | all 1 | bit 2 | Somewhat 3 | bit 4 | much 5 |
| a. I have confidence in my doctor(s) | | | | | |
| b. My doctor is available to answer my questions | | | | | |
| | (circle o | ne numbe | | | |
| c. Looking at the above 2 questions, how much would you say your RELATIONSHIP WITH YOUR DOCTOR affects your quality of life? | 0 1 not at all | 2 3 | 4 5 6 | , , | 9 10 nuch so |
| 11. Emotional Well-Being | Not at | A little | 0 | Quite a | Very |
| | all 1 | bit 2 | Somewhat 3 | bit 4 | much 5 |
| a. I feel sad | | | | | |
| b. I am proud of how I'm coping with my illness | | | | | |
| c. I am losing the fight against my illness | | | | | |
| d. I feel nervous | | | | | |
| e. I worry about dying | | | | | |
| f. I worry that my condition will get worse | | | | | |
| | • | one numbe | | 7 ^ | |
| g. Looking at the above 6 questions, how much would you say your EMOTIONAL WELL- BEING affects your quality of life? | 0 1 not at all | 2 3 | 4 5 6 | 7 8 9 very m | 9 10 nuch so |

| 12. Functional Well-Being | Not at all | A little bit | Somewh | at | Quite a bit 4 | Very much |
|---|------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------|----|---------------------|----------------|
| a. I am able to work (include work at home) | | | | | | |
| b. My work (include work in home) is fulfilling | | | | | | . 🗆 |
| c. I am able to enjoy life | | | | | | |
| d. I have accepted my illness | | | | | | |
| e. I am sleeping well | | | | | | |
| f. I am enjoying the things I usually do for fun | | | | | | |
| g. I am content with the quality of my life right now | | | | | | |
| h. Looking at the above 7 questions, how much would you say your FUNCTIONAL WELL- BEING affects your quality of life? | (circle o 0 1 not at a | ne number) 2 3 4 II | 5 6 | 7 | 8 9 very m | 10 nuch so |
| 13. Additional Concerns | Not at all | A little bit | Somewha | at | Quite a bit | Very much |
| a. I have been short of breath | | | | | | |
| b. I am self-conscious about the way I dress | | | | | | |
| c. My arms are swollen or tender | | | | | | |
| d. I feel sexually attractive | | | | | | |
| e. I have been bothered by hair loss | | | | | | |
| f. I worry about the risk of cancer in other family members | | | | | | |
| g. I worry about the effect of stress on my illness | | | | | | |
| h. I am bothered by a change in weight | | | | | | |
| i. I am able to feel like a woman | | | | | | |
| j Looking at the above 9 questions, how much would you say your ADDITIONAL CONCERNS affects your quality of life? | (circle o 0 not at all | one number 1 2 3 |) 4 5 | 6 | 7 8 very m | 9 10 uch so |
| Your | Health | | | | | |
| | Excellent | Very Good | Good 3 | | Fair | Poor 5 |
| 14. In general, would you say your health is: | | | | | | |

| | 5. The following items are about activities you might do during a typical day. Does <u>your health now limit you</u> in these activities? If so, how much? <i>(Check an answer for each activity.)</i> | | | | | | |
|------------|--|-----------------------|------------------|------------------------------|------------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| | | | | | OW LIMITE | | |
| | | | la mushina | Not at al | | | A lot |
| | a. <u>Moderate activities</u>, such as movi a vacuum cleaner, bowling, or pl | | | 1 | 2 [| _ | . 3 |
| | b. Lifting or carrying groceries | ayiiig go | | | | _ | |
| | c. Climbing several flights of stairs | | | | | | |
| | d. Walking <u>several</u> blocks | | | | _ | | |
| | u. •••u | | | | | | |
| 16. | During the <u>past 4 weeks</u> have you have regular daily activities as a result of y | | | | s with your | work or o | ther |
| | a. Accomplished less than you would | d like | | 1□ | Yes 2 | □ No | |
| | b. Were limited in the kind of work of | or other a | ctivities | 1 | Yes 2 | □ No | |
| | | | | | | | |
| 17. | During the past 4 weeks, have you ha | ad any o | f the followi | ng problem | s with your | work or o | ther |
| | regular daily activities as a result of e | emotion | al problems | (such as fee | eling depre | ssed or an | xious): |
| | a. Accomplished less than you wou | ld like | | 1 | Yes 2 | □ No | |
| | b. Didn't do work or other activities a | as carefu | ılly as usual | 1 | Yes 2 | □ No | |
| 18. | During the <u>past 4 weeks</u> , how much outside the home and housework)? | did <u>pain</u> | interfere wi | th your norr | nal work (in | icluding b | oth work |
| | ₁ ☐ Not at all 2 ☐ A little bit | з 🏻 М | oderately | ₄ ☐ Quite | a bit ₅ [| ☐ Extreme | ly |
| 19. | During the <u>past 4 weeks</u> , how much climited your social activities (like visi | | | | | otional pro | oblems |
| | ₁ ☐ Not at all 2 ☐ A little bit | з 🏻 М | oderately | ₄ ☐ Quite | a bit ₅[| ☐ Extreme | ly |
| 20. | For EACH of the following questions, you have been feeling and how thing | | | | | | e way |
| How wee | much of the time during the <u>past 4</u> | All of the time | Most of the time | A good bit of the time | Some of the time | A little of the time | None of the time |
| | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| a. | Have you felt calm and peaceful? | | | | | | |
| b. | Did you have a lot of energy? | | | | | | |
| C. | Have you felt downhearted & blue? | | | | | | |
| d. | Have you felt very anxious or nervous? | | | | | | |

21. Check the box for each statement which best describes how often you felt or behaved this way during the <u>past week</u>.

| Di | uring the <u>past week:</u> | Rarely or None of the Time (Less than 1 day) | Some or a Little of the Time (1-2 days) | Occasionally or a Moderate Amount of Time (3-4 days) | Most or All of the Time (5-7 days) |
|----|---|--|---|--|------------------------------------|
| a. | I was bothered by things that usually don't bother me | | ۵. | | |
| b. | I did not feel like eating; my appetite was poor | | Image: Control of the | | |
| C. | I felt that I could not shake off the blues even with help from my family or friends | | . 🗖 | | · 🗖 |
| d. | I felt that I was just as good as other people | . 🗖 | | | |
| е. | I had trouble keeping my mind on what I was doing | | | | |
| f. | I felt depressed | | | | |
| g. | I felt that everything I did was an effort | | | | |
| h. | I felt hopeful about the future | | | | |
| l. | I thought my life had been a failure | | | | |
| j. | l felt fearful | | | | |
| k. | My sleep was restless | | | | |
| 1. | I was happy | | | | |
| m. | I talked less than usual | | | | |
| n. | I felt lonely | | | | |
| Ο. | People were unfriendly | | | | |
| p. | l enjoyed life | | | | |
| q. | I had crying spells | | | | |
| r. | I felt sad | | | | |
| s | I felt that people disliked me | | | | |
| t. | I could not get going | | | | |

SOCIAL SUPPORT

| 22. | questions | netimes look t about the sup o you if you ne | o others for help, fr port that you have. <u>l</u> ed it? | iendship, o How often i | r other type: s each of th | s of support. e following k | Next are inds of su | some ipport |
|------------|------------------------------------|--|---|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|
| | | | · | None of the time | A little of the time | Some of the time | Most of the time 4 | All of the time |
| a. | | you can count need to talk | on to listen to you | | | | | |
| b. | Someone problem | to give you go | od advice about a | | | | | |
| C. | Someone need it | to take you to | the doctor if you | | | | | ` □ |
| d. | Someone when you | to help you un need it | derstand a problem | | | | | |
| e. | Someone sick | to help with da | ily chores if you are | | | | | |
| f. | Someone and fears | to share your r | most private worries | | | | | |
| g. | Someone | to do somethin | g fun with | | | | | |
| h. | Someone wanted | to love you and | d make you feel | | | | | |
| 23. H a | low <u>many re</u> bout private | elatives and fri matters, can | ends do you have ti call on for help). ((| h at you fee l Check one b | l close to (fe | el at ease wi | ith, can ta | lk to nds.) |
| | | | 23a. | 23b. | | | | , |
| | | | Relatives | Friends | | | | |
| | 1 | None | | | | | | |
| | 2 | 1 or 2 | | | | | | |
| | 3 | 3 to 5 | | | | | | |

- 24. Is this more or fewer than before your breast cancer diagnosis?
 - ₁ ☐ More relatives/friends than before diagnosis

6 to 9

10 or more

- $_2$ \square Fewer relatives/friends than before diagnosis
- 3 Same number of relative/friends always had

25. How much does your <u>breast cancer and its treatment</u> currently interfere with different aspects of your life? CIRCLE the number that best describes your present life situation.

If an item is not applicable, circle number 1 (one) to indicate that this aspect of your life is not affected very much. *Please do not leave any item unanswered.*

| | <u>Ne</u> | ot Ver | y Muc | h | | | V | ery Much |
|----|---|--------|-------|-----------|---|---|-----|------------|
| a. | Health | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| b. | Diet (e.g. The things you eat and drink) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| C. | Work | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| d. | Active recreation (e.g. Dancing, sports) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| е. | Passive recreation (e.g. Reading, listening to music) | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 . |
| f. | Financial situation | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 - | 7 |
| g. | Relationship with spouse or partner | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| h. | Sex life | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| i. | Family relations | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| j. | Other social relations | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| k. | Self-expression/self-improvement | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| I. | Religious expression | 1 | 2 | ,3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| m. | Community and civic involvement | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| n. | Planning for the future | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |

Peer Support

| 26. | In the past year, have you talked to a breast cancancer? 1☐ Yes 2☐ No, I preferred not to | |
|-----|---|---------|
| 27. | IF YES, was this woman: (Please check all that a | apply.) |
| | a. A family member | |
| | b. A friend | |
| | c. An acquaintance or co-worker | |
| | d. A Reach to Recovery Volunteer | |
| | e. A Kaiser Peer Support Volunteer | |
| | f. Other (please specify) | _ 🗖 |

| 20. | Re | er the past year, now often did you have co covery or Kaiser)? (Please check the box | ontact with that appli | ı a peer supp es.) | ort volunt | eer (either | Reach to |
|-----|------|--|---------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|--|--------------------------|
| | | | Not At all | Once or Twice | 3-5 Times | 5-10 Times | More Than 10 Times |
| | a. | Telephone calls | | | | | |
| | b. | In person visit(s) | | | | | |
| 29. | W | as this: | | | | | |
| | 1 [| Less contact than you wanted | | | • | | |
| | 2 [| | | | | | • |
| | з [| More contact than you wanted | | | | | |
| 30. | if y | you had a Reach to Recovery or a Kaiser P the following areas? | eer Suppo | rt Volunteer, | how muc | h did she l | nelp you |
| | | | Very Helpful | Somewhat Helpful | A little helpful | Not at all Helpful ⁴ | Does Not Apply |
| | a. | Get the information you needed | | | | | |
| | b. | Understand your breast cancer diagnosis | | | | | |
| | C. | Decide what treatment(s) to have | | | | | |
| | d. | Communicate better with your doctor | | | | | |
| | e. | Know what questions to ask your doctor | | | | | |
| | f. | Take better care of yourself | | | | | |
| | g. | Find out about and use the Kaiser resources better | | | | | |
| | h. | Deal with job stress | | | | | |
| | I. | Deal with family relationships | | | | | . 🗖 |
| | j. | Deal with sexual issues related to breast cancer | | | | | |

Anything Else?

| Is there anything e cancer experience | lse you wou ? If so, writ | uld like to e your co | tell us about tell us about the tell us about th | ut your brea ere. | ast |
|---------------------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|--|----------------------|-----|
| | | | | | |
| | , | | , | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | ************************************** | | | | |
| | | •••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••• | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | . 12.11 | |
| • | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| * | | | | | |





BREAST CANCER PEER SUPPORT PROGRAM VOLUNTEER QUESTIONNAIRE

IMPACT OF BREAST CANCER PEER SUPPORT PROGRAM

| ۱. | The Breast Cancer Pe | er Support Prog | gram has been | valuable for m | ie. |
|----|----------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------|---------------------------|
| | ¹ ☐ disagree strongly | ₂ □ disagree | ₃ □ neutral | ₄□ agree | ₅ □ agree strongly |
| | In what ways? | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 2. | Volunteering in this p | rogram has had | a positive effec | ct on my emot | ional health. |
| | disagree strongly | ₂□ disagree | ₃□ neutral | ₄□ agree | ₅ □ agree strongly |
| | In what ways? | | | | |
| | | | | ., | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | • | | |
| 3. | Volunteering in this plife. | rogram has had | a positive effe | ct on importan | t relationships in my |
| | ₁☐ disagree strongly | ₂ □ disagree | ₃ □ neutral | ₄ □ agree | ₅ □ agree strongly |
| | In what ways? | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |
| | | | | | |

| т. | The three-day transmit | y was valuable t | o me. | | |
|-----|--|---------------------|--------------------------|------------------|---------------------------|
| | ¹ ☐ disagree strongly In what ways? | ₂ □ disagree | ₃□ neutral | ₄ □ agree | ₅ □ agree strongly |
| | m what ways: | • | | | · |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 5. | The monthly meetings | s were helpful fo | or me. | | |
| | ☐ disagree strongly In what ways? | | ₃ ⊡ neutral | ₄ □ agree | ₅ □ agree strongly |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 6. | The program helped n | ne to better nav | igate the Kaise | r Permanente | system. |
| | ₁☐ disagree strongly | ₂□ disagree | ₃□ neutral | ₄ □ agree | |
| | In what ways? | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 7. | The program helped n | ne better comm | unicate with my | doctor | |
| | disagree strongly | | ₃□ neutral | ₄□ agree | ₅ □ agree strongly |
| В. | The program helped n | ne make decisio | ns about my m | edical care. | |
| | ₁☐ disagree strongly | ₂□ disagree | ₃ □ neutral | ₄ □ agree | □ agree strongly |
| 9. | Keeping a journal was | helpful to me. | | | |
| | ₁☐ disagree strongly | ₂ □ disagree | ₃□ neutral | ₄ □ agree | ₅ □ agree strongly |
| 10. | Overall, on a scale of program? | 1 to 10, how ber | neficial to <u>you</u> v | vas your parti | cipation in this |
| | 1=not at all ben | eficial | | 10=extreme | ely beneficial |
| | 1 2 | 3 4 | 5 6 7 | 9 0 44 | · |

VOLUNTEER SUPPORT

| , do you fe | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | - | | | se explain. |
|----------------------|----------|---------------------------------------|---|-------|-------------|--------|-------------|---|-----------------------|
| · | <u>-</u> | | | | | | | | |
| | | • | | | | | | | |
| | | | | OV | ERA | LL | | | |
| on a scal 1=not a | | | | ow wo | uld y | ou rat | | | ram? mely valuable |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| ning? | | | | | | | | | |
| g else? | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

| ANYTHING ELSE? |
|----------------|
| |
| b. |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| · |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| • |
| |
| |

Thank you very much

YOUR BUDDY

| Do | o you think you | r relationship with _ | | | helped her to: |
|----|---|-------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 4 | lindorstand ha | er breast cancer diag | nosis? | | |
| 1. | | _ | | _ | |
| | very helpful very helpful | ₂□ somewhat helpful | ₃ a little helpful | □ not at all helpful | does not apply does not apply |
| 2. | Understand he | er treatment options? | • | | • |
| | ₁☐ very helpful | ₂ somewhat helpful | ₃☐ a little helpful | □ not at all helpful | ₅ does not apply |
| 3. | Get a second | opinion? | | | |
| | ₁☐ very helpful | ₂☐ somewhat helpful | ₃ a little helpful | not at all helpful | ₅ does not apply |
| 4. | Communicate | more effectively with | her doctor? | • | |
| | ₁☐ very helpful | ₂ somewhat helpful | ₃ ☐ a little helpful | □ not at all helpful | ₅ ☐ does not apply |
| 5. | Know what qu | estions to ask her do | octor? | | |
| | ₁☐ very helpful | ₂ ☐ somewhat helpful | ₃ ☐ a little helpful | ₄☐ not at all helpful | ₅ does not apply |
| 6. | Take an active | role in her health ca | re? | | |
| | ¬□ very helpful | ₂ ☐ somewhat helpful | ₃ ☐ a little helpful | ₄□ not at all helpful | ₅ does not apply |
| 7. | Find new sour | ces of support? | | | |
| | ₁☐ very helpful | ₂☐ somewhat helpful | ₃ ☐ a little helpful | □ not at all helpful | ₅ does not apply |
| 8. | Find other type | es of help she neede | d (e.g., rides, bat | py-sitting)? | |
| | ₁□ very helpful | ₂ ☐ somewhat helpful | ₃ ☐ a little helpful | ₄□ not at all helpful | ₅ does not apply |
| 9. | Find out about | and use Kaiser Perr | manente resource | es? | |
| | ₁□ very helpful | ₂☐ somewhat helpful | ₃ ☐ a little helpful | ₄☐ not at all helpful | ₅ ☐ does not apply |
| 10 | . Deal with job s | stress? | | | |
| | □ very helpful | ₂☐ somewhat helpful | ₃ ☐ a little helpful | ↓□ not at all helpful | ₅ □ does not apply |

| 11. Deal with fam | illy relationships? | | | | |
|-----------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|--------------|
| ₁☐ very helpfu | l ₂□ somewhat help | oful ₃ □ a little he | lpful ₄❑ not at al | l helpful ₅ 🗖 do | es not apply |
| 12. Deal with sex | cual issues related | to breast cance | r? | | |
| ₁☐ very helpfu | l ₂□ somewhat help | oful ₃ □ a little he | lpful ₄ □ not at al | l helpful ₅ 🗖 do | es not apply |
| 13. Please discus | ss any of the abov | e: | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | ACTIVITIE | S | | |
| How often did yo | u offer the followin | ng types of supp | ort to your budo | ly? | |
| 14. I shared my e | xperience of breas | st cancer with m | v buddv. | | |
| ₁☐ not at all | ₂☐ once or twice | ₃ □ 3-5 times | ₄☐ 6-10 times | ₅□ 11 or more | times |
| 15. I visited with | her. | | | | |
| ₁□ not at all | ² □ once or twice | ₃ ☐ 3-5 times | ₄ □ 6-10 times | ₅□ 11 or more | times |
| 16. I talked with h | ner on the telephor | ne. | | | |
| ₁ □ not at all | ² □ once or twice | ₃☐ 3-5 times | 4 □ 6-10 times | ₅ ☐ 11 or more | times |
| 17. I went to lunc | h, dinner or coffee | with her. | | | |
| ₁☐ not at all | ₂☐ once or twice | ₃ ☐ 3-5 times | 4 □ 6-10 times | ₅□ 11 or more | times |
| 18. I sent a greeti | ng card to her. | | | | |
| ₁□ not at all | ₂☐ once or twice | ₃ □ 3-5 times | ₄ ☐ 6-10 times | ₅□ 11 or more | times |

| | | another physica | i activity with ne | r. |
|-------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| ₁◘ not at al | l ₂□ once or twice | ₃ □ 3-5 times | 4 □ 6-10 times | ₅ ☐ 11 or more times |
| 20. I drove her | somewhere. | | | |
| ₁□ not at all | l ₂□ once or twice | ₃ □ 3-5 times | 4 □ 6-10 times | ₅ ☐ 11 or more times |
| 21. I took her to | the doctor. | | | |
| ₁□ not at al | ₂□ once or twice | ₃ □ 3-5 times | 4 □ 6-10 times | ₅ ☐ 11 or more times |
| 22. I went with | her to get a prosthe | esis. | • | |
| ₁□ not at all | 2 □ once or twice | ₃ □ 3-5 times | 4 □ 6-10 times | ₅ □ 11 or more times |
| 23a. I did some | ething else with her | (please specify: | |). |
| | | | | ₅ ☐ 11 or more times |
| 23b. I did some | ething else with her | (please specify: | |). |
| ₁◘ not at all | l ₂□ once or twice | ₃ □ 3-5 times | 4 □ 6-10 times | ₅☐ 11 or more times |
| | | · | | |
| | | THE MATC | Н | |
| | | | | |
| 04 Danie 4late | 1.41-4 | | | |
| | | | | were a good match? |
| | k that you and ch so ₂□ somewh | | | |
| | ch so ₂□ somewh | | | |
| ₁☐ very mud | ch so ₂□ somewh | | | |
| ₁☐ very mud | ch so ₂□ somewh | | | |
| ₁☐ very mud | ch so ₂□ somewh | | | |
| ₁☐ very mud | ch so ₂□ somewh | | | |
| ₁☐ very mud | ch so ₂□ somewh | | | |
| very muc | ch so ₂□ somewh | nat ₃□ not rea | | |
| very muc | ch so ₂□ somewh | nat ₃□ not rea | | |

| . What types of things made it easy to develop a relationship with her? | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|------------------------|----------------|----------------|--------|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------|-------------|---------|----------|-----|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | · | |
| . What type | es of thin | | | | | | | | | with h | er? | | |
| | | | | | - | | | | | | | | |
| Reflecting | g on youi ideration | r expe wher | rience we m | e with | this I budd | buddy ies wi | , are th vol | there luntee | othei ers? | things | s we ne | ed to ta | ake |
| | | | | | | - Hub | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 0. <i>Overall</i> , h | ow usefi | ıl do y | ou th | ink th | is pro | ogram | was | for yo | ur bu | ıddy? | | | |
| | 1=not a | t all u | seful | | | | | 10 |)=extr | remely | useful | | |
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | | | |
| 1. Anything | else? | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | ··· | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | ••·· <u>·</u> | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |